1	RIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) inited States Attorney			
2 3	DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782) Chief, Criminal Division			
4	DEBORAH R. DOUGLAS (NYBN 2099372) Assistant United States Attorney  450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7200 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 E-Mail: deborah.r.douglas@usdoj.gov			
5				
6				
7				
8 9	Attorneys for the United States of America			
	INITED OF A TEG DIGTRICE COLIDS			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	CR 14-527-4 RS	
14	V.	)	STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER FOR	
15	ROBERT CHUN,	)	A CONTINUANCE AND EXCLUSION OF TIME	
16	Defendant.		UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT, 18 U.S.C. § 3161 ET. SEQ	
17				
18	The United States of America, by and through its attorney of record, and the above-captioned			
19	defendant ("defendant"), by and through his respective attorney of record, hereby stipulate as follows:			
20	1. For the reasons stated in open court on May 24, 2016, the Court continued the above-			
21	captioned matter to August 2, 2016 at 2:30 p.m. and excluded time under the Speedy Trial Act from			
22	May 24, 2016 through August 2, 2016 based upon effective preparation of counsel under 18 U.S.C.			
23	§§3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).			
24	2. The parties stipulate and agree that this matter should be continued to August 2, 2016 at			
25	2:30 p.m., and that the failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the			
26	reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.			
27	The parties further stipulate and agree that the time from May 24, 2016 through August 2, 2016 should			
28				
	Stipulation and Order CR 14-527-4 RS	1		

## Case 3:14-cr-00527-RS Document 176 Filed 05/25/16 Page 2 of 2

be excluded based upon the complexity of the case in accordance with the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.  $\S 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii)$ , and on the basis that the ends of justice are served by taking such action which outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and for effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, under 18 U.S.C.  $\S 3161(h)(7)(A)$  and (B)(iv).

6

7

8

9

1

2

3

4

5

DATED: May 25, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

BRIAN J. STRETCH United States Attorney

/5

DEBORAH R. DOUGLAS Assistant United States Attorney

/s/

RANDALL KNOX, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant Robert Chun

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

28

ORDER

Upon the parties' stipulation, and GOOD CAUSE appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above-captioned matter shall be continued to August 2, 2016 at 2:30 p.m. and that the time from May 24, 2016 through August 2, 2016 shall be excluded in accordance with the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii), and 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv). The Court finds that (A) the above-captioned matter is complex based upon the nature of the prosecution; (B) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence; and (C) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 25, 2016

HONORABLE RICHARD SEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE